

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/700,164 11/03/2003		1/03/2003	Matthew T. Kackowski	091395-9221	3620
23409	7590	06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL 100 E WISC		FRIEDRICH, LLI	FOOTLAND,	FOOTLAND, LENARD A	
MILWAUK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				3682	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/700,164	KACKOWSKI, MATTHEW T.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lenard A. Footland	3682					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a less of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perity for the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than three months after the maximum stated and the period for reply will be supported by the Office later than three months after the maximum stated by the Office later than three months after the maximum stated by the Office later than three months after the maximum stated by the Office later than three months after the maximum stated by the Office later than three months after the maximum stated by the Office later than three months after the maximum stated by the Office later than three months after the maximum stated by the Office later than three months after the maximum stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than three months are stated by the Office later than th	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  If from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
_	his action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or	Irawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation of the papplication for a line is made of a claim for foreign and in the internation of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line is made of a claim for foreign and in the internation of the priority document of the priority	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 3682

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figure(s) 2-3 versus that of Fig(s). 4 versus Fig(s). 5 v Fig(s). 6 v 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, AND A LISTING OF ALL CLAIMS READABLE THEREON (NOT, FOR EXAMPLE, "AT LEAST CLAIMS..."), INCLUDING ANY CLAIMS SUBSEQUENTLY ADDED, AND IF THE AMENDMENT OF ANY CLAIMS RESULTS IN A CHANGE OF THE SPECIES THEY READ UPON, THAT TOO SHOULD BE INDICATED. FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN A HOLDING OF NONRESPONSIVENESS. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3682

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

The elected species is limited to the features set forth in the elected figures, and does not include features not illustrated in those figures, or illustrated in other figures. Accordingly, applicant should review all claims to ensure that all features of the elected species are properly illustrated, as required, in order to avoid a holding that an unillustrated feature does not form part of the elected species.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. Should that communication be unsuccessful, please obtain the name of the receptionist before contacting the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7103.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,164

Art Unit: 3682

Sund A Fortha Page 4

Lenard A. Footland

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf June 1, 2005